

Key Outcomes

OFFICIAL External

Title: Digital Service Provider, Operational Framework Review

Fraud Detection & Monitoring Focus Group

Issue date: 6 May 2021

Venue: WEBEX

Event date: 7 April 2021 **Start:** 10:00 **Finish:** 12:00

Chair: Diana Porter Facilitator: Diana Porter

Contact: Julie Huynh Contact phone: (02) 8894 9304

Intent: Improve information security documentation relating to fraud detection and

monitoring controls

Attendees: ATO

Kylie Johnston – Digital Partnership Office (DPO) Diana Porter – Operational Framework Lead (DPO)

Fiona Homan - Information & Cyber Security

Mark MacDowell - Digital Communication & Identity Services

Anu Duggirala – Digital Wholesale Integration Andrew Davis – DCIS Product Management James Bills – DCIS Product Management

Ian Lloyd - Relationship Manager Digital Partnership Office

Stephen Knight - DCIS Product Management

Operational Framework Team

Industry

ABSIA Simon Foster Ascender Pay Craig Booth Intuit Matt Lewis MYOB Australia Andrew Smith Ozedi Holdinas Josef Bobinac Sage Software Australia Estevan Chaves Superchoice James Cameron SuperConcepts **Grant Christensen**

Thomson Reuters Clyde Netto

Xero Erin Adams
Xero Helen MacGillivray
Xero Matthew Prouse

Apologies: ATO

Melissa Goodwin - DCIS

Claire Miller – Director, Digital Communication & Identity Services

Nick Kelly - IT Security Analyst DCIS Architecture

Industry

AMP Andrew Strong
BT Financial Kim Sung Do
ELMO Software e-Payday Brett Reed
IRESS John Paul Lonie
Reckon Simon Hutchinson

Next meeting: TBA

The key outcomes for this meeting are best read with the Fraud Detection & Monitoring Focus Group presentation.

Agenda item: 1 - Introduction & Overview

Three key themes were discussed including an overview of feedback from the first session on:

- 1. Expanding Security Monitoring
- 2. Preservation and Retention of Log Data
- 3. Breach Notification Guidance

It was noted some items raised during the review that will be taken out of session and finalised post review.

Agenda item: 2 – Expanding Security Monitoring

The group discussed previous feedback on expanding security monitoring:

- Commercial impacts for small DSPs
- ATO to establish baseline controls.

Discussion on expanding scope of security monitoring to all DSP controlled cloud environments, including exploring benefits, risks and considerations.

Outcomes from industry discussion:

- The control will be uplifted for security monitoring to be included to all DSP controlled cloud environment's (there will be no differentiation to the API risk rating or volumes).
- Streamline guidance on evidence required, to better represent the types of evidence provided by DSPs
- Evidence required will include a screenshot of the security monitoring system detecting the intrusion i.e. dashboard of detection system that generates alerts. This is because it shows

OFFICIAL EXTERNAL 2

evidence of the intrusion. This screenshot should also include the name/s of the relevant assets.

Further consideration is needed for:

- Define the specific requirements to show all i.e. does the requirement relate to the whole application or just to the part of the software that interacts with the ATO.
- The group noted ATO could investigate the use AWS Guard Duty, this will be taken out of the DSP OPF Review.

Agenda item: 3 - Protection and preservation of audit logs

The group discussed previous feedback on Improved Guidance of Retention and Preservation of Audit Logs:

- 1. Specific guidance on expectations of log preservations.
- 2. Mapping industry standards.
- 3. ATO to establish baseline controls.

Outcomes from industry discussion:

- DSPs generally agreed:
 - User access controls to be tightened to ensure individual user can be identified through audit logs.
 - o Shared log-ins are not to be permitted.
- Guidance will include link to support DSPs in creating their log management plan e.g. Australian Cyber Security Centre guidelines for system monitoring.
- DPO will provide additional information including examples and scenarios in a newly created Operational Framework support page in the knowledge hub.

Further consideration is needed for:

- Requirements will provide further guidance for:
 - What should be logged e.g. Are logs required when sensitive information is viewed or only when changes are made.
 - Storage of logs i.e. how stored, evidence, timeframes etc
 - When a user logs in multiple times through different browsers

Agenda item: 4 - Breach Notification Guidance

The group discussed previous feedback on the opportunities to improve the breach notification process and guidance:

- 1. Timeframe to report breaches.
- 2. Guidance on risk thresholds for reporting breaches.
- 3. Clarification on what action the ATO takes when a breach notification is received.

Outcomes from industry discussion:

• DSPs generally agreed breach notifications needed clarification on:

OFFICIAL EXTERNAL 3

- o Specific details required as part of reporting a breach
- o Using the word 'immediately' with context
- The DSPs responsibility for reporting breaches from Add-on marketplaces.

Further consideration is needed for:

Ability of incident notification to be created directly by a third party / add-on marketplace.
 DPO will explore improvements in OS4DSPs.

Agenda item: 5 - Wrap Up & Close

Outcomes of working group to be published and circulated.

OFFICIAL EXTERNAL 4